

## BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the matter of Application of Kerman Telephone Co. (U 1012 C), d/b/a Sebastian, to Review Intrastate Rates and Charges and Rate of Return For Telephone Service Furnished within the State of California, and to Modify Selected Rates.

A. 11-12-011 (Filed December 28, 2011)

## NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION OF KERMAN TELEPHONE CO. (U 1012 C)

Mark P. Schreiber
Patrick M. Rosvall
Ann L. Ten Eyck
Priya D. Brandes
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
201 California Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 433-1900
Facsimile: (415) 433-5530

Attorneys for Kerman Telephone Co.

Email: prosvall@cwclaw.com

June 9, 2016

## NOTICE OF EX PARTE COMMUNICATION

Pursuant to Rule 8.3 and 8.4 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, Kerman Telephone Co. (U 1012 C) ("Kerman") hereby provides notice of the following *ex parte* communications.

On Monday, June 6, 2016, Patrick M. Rosvall (Kerman's attorney), had two phone conversations with Commissioner advisors related to the second set of revisions to the Proposed Decision that the Commission released in the above-captioned proceeding. The first conversation was between Mr. Rosvall and Bill Johnston, advisor to Commissioner Sandoval. The conversation started at approximately at 3:50 p.m. and lasted for approximately ten minutes. The second conversation was between Mr. Rosvall and Advisor to Commissioner Randolph, Lester Wong. This conversation started at approximately 4:05 and lasted for approximately ten minutes. No written materials were exchanged in connection with these conversations.

In each conversation, Mr. Rosvall asked Mr. Johnston and Mr. Wong if they had any questions about the conclusions or outcomes of the Revised Proposed Decision, relative to their previous discussions with Kerman's representatives. Mr. Rosvall indicated that while the second set of revisions to the Proposed Decision corrects the calculation errors addressed by Kerman, the reasoning supporting these corrections is fallible. Mr. Rosvall expressed concern that the second set of revisions did not change any of the ratemaking outcomes from the Revised Proposed Decision that remain unreasonable for Kerman, including the treatment of Kerman's annex/warehouse and Kerman's rate case expense. Mr. Rosvall further explained that the second set of revisions also fails to address the significant implementation issues that Kerman raised in its comments and in prior communications, which remain unresolved. Finally, Mr. Rosvall asked Mr. Johnston and Mr. Wong if they were willing to consider issuing an alternate decision that would help ensure that Kerman would be able to generate sufficient revenue to reasonably serve its customers.

This notice has been provided to the service list for A.11-12-011, as stated in the Certificate of Service attached hereto. Please direct any questions regarding this notice to prosvall@cwclaw.com.

Dated this June 9, 2016 at San Francisco, California.

Mark P. Schreiber Patrick M. Rosvall Ann L. Ten Eyck Priya D. Brandes
COOPER, WHITE & COOPER LLP
201 California Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 433-1900
Facsimile: (415) 433-5530

Email: prosvall@cwclaw.com

/s/ Patrick M. Rosvall By:

Patrick M. Rosvall Attorneys for Kerman Telephone Co.

2 1069213.1